

The Honorable John H. Chun

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

STATE OF WASHINGTON; and STATE OF  
OREGON,

NO. 2:25-cv-00602-JHC

Plaintiffs,

V.

DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,

UNOPPOSED MOTION OF AMICUS  
CURIAE BRENNAN CENTER FOR  
JUSTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT

**NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
July 21, 2025**

The Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law (the “Brennan Center”) moves for leave to file a brief as *amicus curiae* in support of Plaintiffs’ motion for partial summary judgment. In furtherance of the motion, the Brennan Center states as follows:

1. The Brennan Center is a nonprofit, non-partisan law and public interest law institute that seeks to strengthen, revitalize, and defend our systems of democracy and justice.

2. The Brennan Center supports Plaintiffs because the Executive Order will have a negative impact on the Brennan Center’s mission of ensuring secure elections and protecting voting rights across all communities in America.

3. This Court “ha[s] broad discretion to admit amicus briefing [ . . . ] to assist a case of general public interest.” *Sec. & Exch. Comm’n v. Bittrex Inc.*, No. 2:23-CV-00580-RSM,

UNOPPOSED MOTION OF AMICUS CURIAE BRENNAN  
CENTER FOR JUSTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1

**GORDON** 600 University Street  
**TILDEN** Suite 2915  
**THOMAS** Seattle, WA 98101  
**CORDELL** 206 467 6477

1 2023 WL 4866373, at \*1 (W.D. Wash. July 31, 2023) (granting leave to file where brief provides  
 2 “assistance in framing the facts and law of this case”). An amicus brief “should normally be  
 3 allowed” when “the amicus has unique information or perspective” that can help the Court  
 4 beyond what the “lawyers for the parties are able to provide.” *International Partners for Ethical*  
 5 *Care Inc. v. Inslee*, No. 3:23-cv-05736-DGE, 2024 WL 416859, at \*1 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 5, 2024)  
 6 (citation omitted) (granting leave to file amicus brief from nonprofit organization whose  
 7 “mission” was “directly implicated” in the case).

14 4. The proposed brief will assist the Court in its consideration of the pending  
 15 motion, because the Brennan Center is a leader in the field of the systems and structures of  
 16 election administration. The Brennan Center has engaged in extensive research and policy  
 17 advocacy on voting systems, election infrastructure, and related laws and regulations, and can  
 18 lend its expertise in these subjects to the Court.<sup>1</sup>  
 19

20 5. The *amicus* brief presented by the Brennan Center would provide a unique  
 21 perspective, rooted in relevant expertise, on the harm states would experience as a result of the  
 22 Executive Order. Drawing on the Brennan Center’s expertise, the proposed brief provides  
 23 important context regarding the role of the Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines, the nature of  
 24 states’ reliance on federal standards, and the impact the Executive Order would have on state and  
 25 local election administration around the country. The Brennan Center also can share insight into  
 26  
 27  
 28  
 29  
 30  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39

---

40 <sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Brennan Ctr. for Justice, *States Must Take the Lead on Election Security*, (Dec. 19, 2024),  
 41 <https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/states-must-take-lead-election-security>; Ruby Edlin et al.,  
 42 *Costs for Replacing Voting Equipment in 2024*, Brennan Ctr. for Justice (Feb. 7, 2024),  
 43 <https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/costs-replacing-voting-equipment-2024>. See generally  
 44 Brennan Ctr. for Justice, *Voting Machines & Infrastructure*, <https://www.brennancenter.org/issues/defend-our-elections/election-security/voting-machines-infrastructure> (collecting the Brennan Center’s research and advocacy  
 45 on voting systems) (last visited July 19, 2025).

the financial and logistical hurdles of procuring voting systems that conform to the new standards, as contemplated by the Executive Order, and the challenge this would pose for states preparing for upcoming primaries and general elections.

6. Counsel for Proposed *Amicus* has conferred with counsel for the parties.

Plaintiffs and Defendants do not object to the request for leave to file.

7. A true and correct copy of the proposed brief has been submitted with this motion.

## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Proposed *Amicus* the Brennan Center for Justice respectfully requests the Court grant the motion for leave to file the attached brief.

I certify that this memorandum contains 548 words, in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.

DATED this 21st day of July 2025.

s/ *Michael Rosenberger*

Michael Rosenberger, WSBA #17730  
GORDON TILDEN THOMAS & CORDELL LLP  
600 University Street, Suite 2915  
Seattle, WA 98101  
206.467.6477  
[mrosenberger@gordontilden.com](mailto:mrosenberger@gordontilden.com)

Jeremy Creelan\*  
Abigail Schmidt\*  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
1155 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
212.891.1600  
[jcreelan@jenner.com](mailto:jcreelan@jenner.com)  
[aschmidt@jenner.com](mailto:aschmidt@jenner.com)

1 Anand Viswanathan\*  
2 Eleanor Slota\*  
3 JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
4 1099 New York Avenue N.W., Suite 900  
5 Washington, DC 20001  
6 202.639.6000  
7 [avishwanathan@jenner.com](mailto:avishwanathan@jenner.com)  
8 [eslota@jenner.com](mailto:eslota@jenner.com)  
9

10 Derek Tisler\*  
11 Eliza Sweren-Becker\*  
12 BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE AT NYU SCHOOL  
13 OF LAW  
14 120 Broadway, Suite 1750  
15 New York, NY 10271  
16 646.292.8310  
17 [sweren-beckere@brennan.law.nyu.edu](mailto:sweren-beckere@brennan.law.nyu.edu)  
18 [tislerd@brennan.law.nyu.edu](mailto:tislerd@brennan.law.nyu.edu)  
19  
20 Counsel for Amicus Curiae Brennan Center for Justice  
21  
22 \*Pro hac vice applications forthcoming  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45